

| | | | |
|---------------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/846,758 | LIU ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Marjorie Moran | 1631 | |

All Participants:

(1) Marjorie Moran.

(2) Tanya Harding.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 11 May 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

All

Claims discussed:

All pending

Prior art documents discussed:

NEFF

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In a series of interviews culminating on 5/11/07, the examiner and attorney discussed whether the prior art taught a second step of analyzing for a recessive mutation AFTER analyzing and recording a first mutation. Agreement as to the prior art and an examiner's amendment was reached. The examiner stated that an Allowance would be mailed.